



# Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan Program

Intended Use Plan- Update #1  
State Fiscal Year 2010

March 1, 2010



State of Oregon  
Department of  
Environmental  
Quality



This report prepared by:

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality  
Water Quality Division  
811 SW 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Portland, OR 97204-1390  
1-800-452-4011

## Table of Contents

Introduction .....	2
CWSRF Program Goals .....	3
<i>Long Term Program Goals</i> .....	3
<i>Short Term Program Goals</i> .....	3
The 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and Oregon's CWSRF Loan Program .....	4
Applications.....	6
Project Descriptions.....	7
Table 1: Projects in Alphabetical Order.....	19
Table 2: Projects in Rank Order .....	23
Table 3: Applicants Ready to Proceed.....	27
Priority Ranking Criteria .....	28
Funds Available for Loans.....	29
Table 4: Estimated Loan Funds Available for FY 2010 – No Grant.....	30
Table 5: Estimated Loan Funds Available for FY 2010 – With Federal Grant.....	31
Capitalization Funds .....	32
Loan Allocations .....	33
Table 6: Estimated FY 2010 Activity With No Grant.....	35
Table 7: Estimated FY 2010 Activity With Grant.....	36
Timely Use of Funds .....	37
Table 8: Binding Commitments and Funds Available.....	37
Loan Award By-Pass Procedure.....	37
Environmental Review.....	37
Operating Agreement .....	38
Single Audit Act .....	38
Public Involvement Activities .....	38
Notification of IUP .....	38
Response to Public Comments .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

## Introduction

The Intended Use Plan (IUP) is a document prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and others interested in the DEQ Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Loan Program. This IUP describes how DEQ proposes to use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund in Oregon during the state fiscal year 2010 (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010). The FY 2010 IUP will remain in effect until the IUP for state fiscal year 2011 is developed and finalized, or until this IUP is updated in the current fiscal year.

DEQ's CWSRF Loan Program offers below market interest rate loans to public agencies for planning, design or construction of the following water pollution abatement projects:

- 1) Wastewater collection, treatment, water reuse and disposal systems,
- 2) Nonpoint source water pollution control projects, and
- 3) Development and implementation of management plans for federally designated estuaries in Oregon (Tillamook and Lower Columbia).

All eligible projects that have submitted applications are included on the list of eligible projects in priority order ("Project Priority List"). However, no funds are committed or reserved for individual projects until all application requirements are met, indicating the project's readiness to proceed, and a loan agreement has been executed. Projects that are ready to proceed are funded in priority order.

All CWSRF program activities are governed by Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340, Division 54, which can be found on the State's web site:

[http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/rules/OARs\\_300/OAR\\_340/340\\_054.html](http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/rules/OARs_300/OAR_340/340_054.html)

### Update of Intended Use Plan

This document is Update Number 1 to the fiscal year 2010 IUP, and includes any new loan applications received by the CWSRF since the 2010 IUP was finalized. This updated IUP will become effective upon the close of the required public comment period. One new application was received before the deadline of January 8, 2010 and is included in this document. It is a planning application from the City of Toledo.

### Environmental Benefits of Projects

EPA requires all new projects funded with CWSRF loans to have a demonstrated environmental benefit. States must document this benefit by including either specific projected environmental results or outcome measurements in their IUP, or a statement in their IUP that they will enter data into the Clean Water Benefits Reporting database ("one-pager") for each project that enters into a binding commitment. DEQ will enter the required data in the database for each new project upon execution of each new CWSRF loan. This information is entered to an on-line web-based database developed and maintained by EPA.

### Public Notice of Environmental Assessment

Projects funded under authority of Section 212 of the Clean Water Act (e.g., publicly owned treatment works projects) must have an analysis of the environmental impact of the proposed project. The environmental review process includes the opportunity for public review and comment, generally for a 30-day period. DEQ issues a public notice for each project in the local area where the project occurs.

## CWSRF Program Goals

### *Long Term Program Goals*

- Goal #1: To protect public health and the waters of the state by offering financial assistance for water pollution control projects.
- Goal #2: To provide financial support for water quality improvements to all waters of the state.
- Goal #3: To administer the Clean Water State Revolving Fund to ensure its financial integrity, viability and perpetuity as a source of financial assistance.

### *Short Term Program Goals*

- Goal #1: To continue to maintain the revolving nature of the Fund and to maintain an active pace of disbursements in conjunction with the receipt of new funds and loan repayments.
- Goal #2: To provide funding to local communities to the maximum extent possible within the constraints of sound financial management, law and regulation.
- Goal #3: To increase the number of loans for both non-point source and estuary management projects.
- Goal #4: To make the CWSRF loan program more accessible to a wider range of water quality projects statewide.
- Goal #5: To continue our participation with other state and federal programs in providing financial assistance to Oregon communities.
- Goal #6: To ensure all projects funded under the 2009 ARRA are under contract or under construction by February 17, 2010, as required by federal law.
- Goal #7: To use, to the extent required and within the capability of the program, ARRA money and funding from the regular CWSRF loan program in combination to assist communities who need to complete water quality improvement projects.

## The 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and Oregon's CWSRF Loan Program

The 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) provides \$44,271,000 for Oregon's CWSRF loan program. EPA awarded the ARRA grant to DEQ on June 8, 2009 and approved Oregon's IUP on July 10, 2009. DEQ determined on July 10, 2009 which projects were ready to proceed in rank order that would receive ARRA funding and offered ARRA loans to 13 applicants on that date. DEQ is in the process of executing ARRA loans and believes the 13 ARRA loans will be executed by the date this IUP becomes effective. DEQ included all projects that applied for ARRA funding in this IUP since there is the possibility that an ARRA funded project may not be able to comply with all CWSRF and ARRA requirements or that a project will not cost as much as originally estimated. DEQ will therefore be able to commit any remaining ARRA funds to other projects in priority order included in this IUP. The following applicants (with application numbers listed) have been offered ARRA funding:

- City of Milwaukie (65580-09)
- Clackamas County Service District (22402-09)
- City of Albany (10510-09)
- City of Millersburg (65410-09)
- MWMC (64840-08)
- Swalley Irrigation District (89600-07)
- Central Oregon Irrigation District (21640-09)
- City of St. Helens (80161-09)
- Farmers Irrigation District (32240-09)
- City of Pendleton (72420-08)
- City of Astoria (11790-09)
- City of Scappoose (80930-09)
- Three Sisters Irrigation District (91410-08)

### Additional Subsidization

The ARRA includes certain provisions that differ from current program requirements. Not less than 50% of the total amount allocated to each state must be offered to borrowers in the form of additional subsidization, which could include principal forgiveness, negative interest rate loans, or grants. Oregon has chosen to offer principal forgiveness in an amount not less than \$22,135,500 and will provide this benefit to all recipients of CWSRF loans made directly with ARRA funds. A loan to a public agency defined as a small community under OAR 340-054-0010(48) will be offered principal forgiveness in the amount of 75% of the total loan amount. All other loans will be offered with principal forgiveness in the amount of 50% of the total loan amount. Any remaining loan amount to a borrower will be offered at an interest rate of zero percent. DEQ will continue to charge borrowers the regular annual loan fee in the amount of 0.5% of the unpaid loan balance. Principal forgiveness will be offered based on the above allocation as it is DEQ's experience that small communities are impacted the most by the incurrence of additional debt. However, all large and small communities in Oregon are presently very concerned about the cost of infrastructure projects and the burden the resulting debt service places on their customers. The principal forgiveness provision will be included in each CWSRF loan agreement.

### Rulemaking

Oregon's administrative rules did not allow for loan provisions with principal forgiveness and zero percent interest rate and therefore DEQ proceeded to amend the CWSRF program rules. The Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) adopted temporary rules (OAR 340-054-0098 through 340-054-0108) on April 17, 2009 that addressed financial requirements and other ARRA requirements. The temporary rules are

effective for 180 days and will expire October 28, 2009. To ensure DEQ's program is able to continue to meet the requirements and administer the funds under ARRA, a permanent rulemaking is necessary. DEQ will present permanent rules to the EQC for adoption at their October 22-23, 2009 meeting.

### **Program Administrative Costs**

Costs of administering the CWSRF loan program are paid from revenues collected from borrowers in the form of an annual loan fee as required in OAR 340-054-0065(7). This fee is 0.5% of the unpaid loan balance and will be assessed on each loan made under the ARRA. The ARRA allows grant recipients to use up to four percent of the grant money to pay for the costs of administering the program. It is DEQ's intent to use 100% of the ARRA grant money to make loans, and not use any grant money to pay administrative costs. However, DEQ will track the amount of ARRA grant money available for payment of administrative costs, and will reserve those amounts for possible future use, as permitted by federal regulation.

Since the primary objective of the ARRA is to preserve and create jobs and promote economic recovery, one of the requirements of the Act is that any project funded with ARRA money must be under contract or under construction within 12 months of enactment of the Act (February 17, 2010). It is DEQ's intent to ensure compliance with this requirement by entering into binding commitments in an amount equal to the ARRA grant in time to allow the CWSRF-funded projects to be under contract or construction by February 17, 2010.

### **Green Project Reserve**

The ARRA also requires that, to the extent there are sufficient eligible project applications, not less than 20% of the grant amount be used for "green" projects. These projects include water efficiency, energy efficiency, green infrastructure or environmentally innovative activities. For Oregon's CWSRF program, an amount of \$8,854,200 (20% of the grant) will be dedicated to a Green Project Reserve. DEQ's intent to satisfy this requirement is through the funding of projects that are included in this IUP, specifically to:

- Swalley Irrigation District in the amount of \$3,100,000,
- Central Oregon Irrigation District in the amount of \$4,000,000,
- Farmers Irrigation District, in the amount of \$4,000,000, and
- Three Sisters Irrigation District, in the amount of \$465,340

These water efficiency projects will significantly reduce the amount of water loss in their delivery systems and an estimated total of 54 cubic feet per second of water from these projects will be returned to nearby streams to improve water quality.

There are additional projects that in whole or in part qualify for funding in this reserve. A report prepared by the city engineer for the City of Albany describes a proposed project for the Cities of Albany and Millersburg that would focus on green infrastructure (a constructed wetland) and energy efficiency. The wetland would be used for treatment and cooling, and would help reduce energy needs through the use of gravity flows rather than the need for pumping. The proposed total project loan amount is \$8,000,000.

### **Public Outreach**

In addition to the customary annual outreach statewide, DEQ sent a notice to all public agencies including special districts (such as sanitary districts), via e-mail, telling of the opportunity to apply for ARRA funding for water quality improvement projects. Meetings for loan applicants and other interested persons were conducted in December 2008 and March 2009, to discuss the ARRA funding and the specific provisions of the law. The response was very enthusiastic, with approximately 135 applications received for new projects. DEQ also participated in three "inter-entity" meetings around the state (Rockaway, Central Point,

and Prineville) with Oregon Economic and Community Development Department and USDA Rural Development to discuss funding opportunities with interested communities.

### **Expeditious Activities**

DEQ has been in contact with applicants and their consulting engineers to determine which projects are most likely to be under contract or under construction by February 17, 2010. DEQ used the by-pass procedure as outlined in this IUP to enable projects ready to proceed to sign loan agreements.

### **Re-allotment**

To meet the requirements and deadlines of the ARRA for the expeditious and timely commitment of funds, DEQ will regularly review the data reported to EPA (through the Clean Water Benefits Reporting database and other reports) on the progress of assistance recipients under the statutory deadlines specified in this IUP to identify any issues with the timeliness of their progress. DEQ will also work with borrowers to ensure compliance with applicable requirements. Any resulting issues will be resolved using CWSRF resources, borrowers, and EPA as needed. DEQ will include conditions in loan agreements that require borrowers to make timely progress with respect to entering into contracts and/or construction.

If a borrower fails to maintain timely progress as specified in their loan agreement, they will lose their ARRA funding and it will be awarded to the next eligible project in this IUP. DEQ understands that EPA may de-obligate grant funds from states that fail to meet requirements on the use of ARRA funds. If DEQ becomes eligible for additional funds made available by a re-allotment of ARRA funds, DEQ will provide EPA with a list of projects from this IUP that are immediately prepared to proceed to construction, and that will be under contract or under construction within 120 days of re-allotment.

### **Grant Payment Schedule**

DEQ's ARRA grant award document states that grant funds will be available to be drawn by DEQ to reimburse eligible project expenses based on the following schedule:

July 2009 – September 2009: \$14,757,000  
October 2009 – December 2009: \$14,757,000  
January 2010 – March 2010: \$14,757,000

## **Applications**

A letter or e-mail soliciting applications for Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans was sent to all cities, service districts and sanitary districts during December 2008. Watershed councils, irrigation districts, National Estuary Program staff, and other groups concerned with nonpoint source pollution and estuary management were also included in the mailing. Public agencies were encouraged to return a completed application to DEQ for all eligible projects regardless of the project schedule or status. Although applications are accepted at any time, there are deadlines for receipt of applications prior to DEQ's scoring and ranking meetings. Deadlines for funding during DEQ's fiscal year 2010 are May 8, 2009, September 11, 2009 and January 8, 2010. An interim deadline of March 16, 2009 was added, to accommodate the large number of applications for ARRA funding.

This IUP includes 132 projects for a total of \$460,570,646 in requested funding. Under OAR 340-054-0025(7)(a)(A), projects will remain on the Priority List for a period up to 36 months, after which time they will be removed from the List. Projects are also removed from the List upon execution of a loan agreement, or upon request of the applicant.

Following is a brief description of each project, organized in alphabetical order. The newest applications are identified with a project number ending in “-10.” Applicants that serve populations of 5,000 or less are indicated as eligible for small community reserve funds. Eligible projects considered for funding must have completed all CWSRF requirements.

## Project Descriptions

<b>10200-09</b>	<b>City of Adair Village (Benton County)</b>	<b>\$1,258,400</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Repair and seal manholes, install cured-in-place piping in select piping sections, and replace others that are beyond rehabilitation, all to help reduce inflow and infiltration.		
<b>10511-09</b>	<b>City of Albany (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$4,650,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Upgrades to the Oak Creek Lift Station and construction of a new sanitary sewer force main.		
<b>10950-09</b>	<b>City of Amity (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$1,140,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Collection system and treatment system improvements, to allow system to meet the provisions of its NPDES permit.		
<b>11420-09</b>	<b>Arch Cape Sanitary District (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$225,600</b>
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community		
Lining or replacement of several sections of sewer line; upgrade of Sally's Alley and Webb Avenue pump stations.		
<b>11750-09</b>	<b>City of Ashland (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$315,000</b>
Sec. 319 Design and Construction		
Ashland Creek restoration work, including natural channel restoration, removal of contaminated fill and debris, removal of non-native species and planting of native species. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.2, 2.3.1, 2.3.4, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 4.2.2, 4.2.14, 4.2.17, 5.8, and 6.5.6.		
<b>11751-09</b>	<b>City of Ashland (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$1,100,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction		
Reconstruction of one pump station; abandonment of one pump station (to be replaced with gravity sewers); rehabilitation of old 21" clay sewer line.		
<b>11752-09</b>	<b>City of Ashland (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$1,645,280</b>
Sec. 212 Construction		
Purchase and installation of membrane system upgrade at the wastewater treatment plant.		
<b>11860-09</b>	<b>City of Aumsville (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$985,160</b>
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community		
Sewer effluent pumping station and off-site irrigation system, including the replacement of existing piping passing under Beaver Creek.		
<b>12910-09</b>	<b>City of Bandon (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$268,605</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Replacement of ultra-violet disinfection equipment for the wastewater treatment plant.		
<b>13840-09</b>	<b>City of Bay City (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$2,122,500</b>

---

Sec. 212 Design and Construction (Small Community)	
Upgrade of both pump stations, and installation of a new headworks structure.	
<b>14080-09 City of Beaverton (Washington County)</b>	<b>\$409,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	
Settling vault followed by a stormwater filter that will clean the surface water runoff from an approximately 70 area drainage area prior to discharge to Erickson Creek.	
<b>14081-09 City of Beaverton (Washington County)</b>	<b>\$170,700</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	
Installation of rain gardens and pervious sidewalks.	
<b>14082-09 City of Beaverton (Washington County)</b>	<b>\$3,773,549</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	
I&I reduction through replacement of sewer mains and laterals at several locations in the City.	
<b>14510-09 City of Bend (Deschutes County)</b>	<b>\$17,200,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	
Improvements to the treatment system, including clarifiers, aeration basins, disinfection, activated sludge process, belt filter press. Also includes stormwater improvements to the railroad crossing underpass corridor.	
<b>18230-09 City of Brookings (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$1,552,498</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	
Replacement of 1,790 feet of 9 inch sewer main along Rowland Street.	
<b>18231-09 City of Brookings (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$3,004,200</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	
Replacement of 7,794 feet of sewer mains, 38 manholes and 3,090 sewer laterals.	
<b>20580-09 City of Cannon Beach (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$3,800,000</b>
Sec. 319 Nonpoint Source Related Project	
Purchase of approximately 800 acres of land to preserve its existing state and prevent development, thereby protecting water quality in local area, including Ecola Creek, West Fork Ecola Creek and North Fork Ecola Creek. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.2, 2.3.1, 2.3.4, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 4.2.2, 4.2.14, 4.2.17, 5.8, and 6.5.6.	
<b>20591-09 City of Canyonville (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	
Design and construction of a new outfall and diffuser, to replace the outfall destroyed by flooding in the South Umpqua River.	
<b>20592-09 City of Canyonville (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$1,400,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	
This project would replace the headworks at the wastewater treatment plant, would install a dechlorination system, and would include a manual screen and bypass channel to allow for equipment maintenance.	
<b>20880-08 City of Carlton (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$1,474,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	
Replace collection system pipes in various locations around the City, and complete upgrade to the main pump station.	

<b>20880-10</b>	<b>City of Carlton (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$4,430,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Replacement of collection system pipe and upgrades to wastewater treatment system.		
<b>21641-09</b>	<b>City of Central Point (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$1,961,816</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Highway 99 Gateway Improvement Project, which includes green solutions to stormwater treatment. This could include landscaped medians, vegetated swales, infiltration planters, street trees.		
<b>22400-09</b>	<b>Clackamas County SWCD (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>
Sec. 319 Nonpoint Source Project Establishment of a local community loan (as authorized under Oregon Administrative Rules), whereby the District would borrow money from the SRF loan program and, in turn, lend it to its constituents (primarily farmers) to complete water quality improvement projects, such as riparian vegetation buffers. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.3.3, 2.3.4, 3.3.1, 6.5.2, and 6.5.6.		
<b>22400-10</b>	<b>Clackamas County Service Dist. No. 1 (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$372,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Design and construction of new collection system pipe, to replace failing onsite systems.		
<b>22700-09</b>	<b>Clean Water Services (Washington County)</b>	<b>\$17,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Design and construction of a new pump station and force mains to relieve sanitary flow in the Dawson Creek gravity trunk line.		
<b>22701-09</b>	<b>Clean Water Services (Washington County)</b>	<b>\$10,700,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Installation of 2 biogas-fueled engine-driven generators to produce 1.5 MW of electricity from renewable resources, including wastewater treatment solids, brown grease and other compatible organic wastes. This facility will help offset the electrical demand of the treatment facility at the Durham location.		
<b>23040-09</b>	<b>City of Coburg (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$1,640,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community This project will pipe treated effluent into the City of Coburg where it will irrigate city parks, commercial landscaped areas and residential properties (a "purple pipe" project).		
<b>23550-10</b>	<b>City of Columbia City (Columbia County)</b>	<b>\$96,313</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of new telemetry at the City's sewer pump stations.		
<b>24190-09</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$1,600,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Inflow and infiltration reduction utilizing a variety of means, as described in the City's application.		
<b>24191-09</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of the wastewater outfall for Treatment Plant No. 1.		

<b>24192-09</b>	<b>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Reconstruction of the Pump Station Number 5.		
<b>24680-09</b>	<b>City of Cove (Union County)</b>	<b>\$1,600,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Design and construction of a treatment wetland, a disposal wetland and related connecting system.		
<b>25140-09</b>	<b>Crescent Sanitary District (Klamath County)</b>	<b>\$5,950,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of a new wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system.		
<b>25640-09</b>	<b>City of Culver (Jefferson County)</b>	<b>\$2,668,310</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Improvements to the wastewater treatment and disposal systems.		
<b>26110-09</b>	<b>City of Dallas (Polk County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Recycled water facility near the wastewater treatment facility, to treat and store effluent, construction of a pump station and recycled water distribution pipeline.		
<b>27611-09</b>	<b>Deschutes County Community Development (Deschutes Co.)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 319 Local Community Loan Development of a local community loan, whereby the County would borrow money from DEQ, then loan that money to residents for onsite sewage treatment upgrades. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2.21 and 5.2.2.		
<b>27760-09</b>	<b>Devils Lake Water Improvement District (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$762,000</b>
Sec. 319 Construction Installation of devices to circulate the water in Devils Lake, to eliminate cyanobacteria and invasive weeds. The District is also considering establishment of a local community loan to assist residents in upgrading onsite treatment systems. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2.21, 5.2.2 and 3.3.2.		
<b>29650-10</b>	<b>City of Dundee (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$10,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of improvements to the wastewater treatment facility, including new influent pump station, new headworks screening, new tertiary treatment process, disinfection and work on the existing lagoons.		
<b>29650-09</b>	<b>City of Dunes City (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>
Sec. 319 Local Community Loan Establishment of a local community loan, whereby the City would borrow money from DEQ, then loan it to home owners to upgrade onsite treatment systems. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2.21, 5.5.2 and 3.3.2.		
<b>31790-09</b>	<b>City of Eugene (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$2,600,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		

Street improvement project in the Crest Area neighborhood that will include a “green street” facility, including biofiltration rain gardens to treat stormwater runoff.

<b>35610-09</b>	<b>City of Garibaldi (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$152,900</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction (Small Community)		
Design and construction of new Lumberman Park pump station, to replace old facility.		
<b>37450-09</b>	<b>City of Glendale (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$1,384,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction		
Inflow and infiltration reduction, including the replacement of the Sether Avenue Pump Station.		
<b>37811-09</b>	<b>City of Gold Hill (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$1,775,650</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Wastewater system improvements, including influent pump station and headworks, disinfection system, a new lagoon and inflow & infiltration work.		
<b>38980-09</b>	<b>Green Sanitary District (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$4,800,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Wastewater treatment plant improvements, including the influent pump station, secondary treatment process and anaerobic digester.		
<b>40350-08</b>	<b>City of Haines (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$74,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Expansion of treated effluent storage capacity and increased irrigation capacity. This loan is partial funding of this project.		
<b>40670-09</b>	<b>City of Halsey (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$700,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Improvements to lift station and sewer mainlines.		
<b>41420-10</b>	<b>Harbor Sanitary District (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$1,390,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Removal and replacement of certain existing collection system pipe, and upgrades to pump stations.		
<b>43770-09</b>	<b>City of Hermiston (Umatilla County)</b>	<b>\$20,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Replacement of the existing secondary treatment process with a membrane bioreactor.		
<b>44550-09</b>	<b>City of Hillsboro (Washington County)</b>	<b>\$3,740,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Inflow and infiltration reduction through replacement of approximately 16,490 feet of 8-inch and 10-inch sewer mains, and approximately 225 laterals.		
<b>45760-09</b>	<b>City of Hood River (Hood River County)</b>	<b>\$1,673,800</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
New sewer pump station and collector sewer pipe, to replace aging line in danger of imminent failure.		
<b>47740-09</b>	<b>City of Irrigon (Morrow County)</b>	<b>\$4,242,540</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
New collection system pipe to convert septic tank effluent collection piping to conventional gravity sewer.		

<b>48740-09</b>	<b>City of Jefferson (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community		
Major upgrade of the wastewater treatment facility. This project will also include replacement of approximately 1,870 feet of influent trunk sewer.		
<b>52602-09</b>	<b>Klamath County School District (Klamath County)</b>	<b>\$1,446,424</b>
Sec. 319 Design and Construction		
Improvements to the geothermal system used to heat Henley High School, including a new discharge pipeline and injection well to eliminate surface discharge of the geothermal water. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.2.14 and 5.2.2.		
<b>52601-09</b>	<b>City of Klamath Falls (Klamath County)</b>	<b>\$40,200,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Improvements to the wastewater treatment plant.		
<b>54410-09</b>	<b>City of Lake Oswego (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Design and construction of the Bryant Road Pump Station, to provide relief to the Main Canal Trunk Sewer during high flow events.		
<b>55080-09</b>	<b>LaPine Special Sewer District (Deschutes County)</b>	<b>\$5,843,070</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
New wastewater treatment facility at a new location, including effluent reuse.		
<b>56200-09</b>	<b>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</b>	<b>\$7,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Replacement of approximately 2.5 miles of interceptor sewer line.		
<b>59220-09</b>	<b>City of Lowell (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$624,576</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Design and construction of new collector sewers to provide service by an unsewered area within the City of Lowell.		
<b>62370-09</b>	<b>City of Madras (Jefferson County)</b>	<b>\$1,322,514</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
New collector sewers in North Madras and at the North Y Intersection.		
<b>62620-09</b>	<b>City of Malin (Klamath County)</b>	<b>\$75,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Wastewater facility improvements, including disposal of effluent by irrigation.		
<b>63980-09</b>	<b>City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Sanitary sewer rehabilitation and reconstruction to reduce inflow and infiltration.		
<b>66140-09</b>	<b>City of Molalla (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$581,801</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Design and construction of improvements to the biosolids treatment and disposal process.		
<b>66240-09</b>	<b>City of Monmouth (Polk County)</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>
Sec. 212 Planning Loan		

Facilities planning for the City's wastewater system.

<b>66241-09</b>	<b>City of Monmouth (Polk County)</b>	<b>\$5,533,554</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Improvements to the City's wastewater system, including lagoon improvements, expansion of effluent reuse, collection system rehabilitation, and improved biosolids handling.		
<b>66280-09</b>	<b>City of Monroe (Benton County)</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction (Small Community)		
Construction of a new lift station and wastewater lagoon, to help eliminate sewer overflows.		
<b>66790-09</b>	<b>City of Moro (Sherman County)</b>	<b>\$1,490,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction (Small Community)		
Wastewater system improvements, to include replacement of gravity sewer pipe, replacement of 5 manholes, treatment improvements, and irrigation expansion.		
<b>67980-09</b>	<b>City of Myrtle Creek (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$345,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Construction of a new pump station at the south end of town, off South Main Street.		
<b>68680-09</b>	<b>Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$20,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Design and construction of new wastewater treatment facility		
<b>68820-09</b>	<b>City of Newberg (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$55,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Design and construction of a two-phase project to repair, renovate and expand the wastewater treatment facility.		
<b>68930-09</b>	<b>City of Newport (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Upgrade of 3 sewer lift stations, installation of two sewer force mains, and replacement of five gravity sewer mains in the North area of the City.		
<b>69980-09</b>	<b>City of Nyssa (Malheur County)</b>	<b>\$303,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community		
Replacement of sewer pipe, construction of new sewer pipe, and installation of new manholes.		
<b>70031-09</b>	<b>City of Oakridge (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$2,221,317</b>
Sec. 212 Construction		
Collection system improvements to help reduce inflow and infiltration.		
<b>70610-09</b>	<b>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</b>	<b>\$4,508,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Improvements to collection, treatment and disposal system.		
<b>70650-09</b>	<b>City of Oregon City (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$270,895</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Stormwater collection and treatment by rain gardens along Warner Milne Road.		
<b>70651-09</b>	<b>City of Oregon City (Clackamas County)</b>	<b>\$119,402</b>
Sec. 319 Design and Construction		

Wetland enhancements to improve stormwater quality, including removal of invasive species and planting of native species to improve the function of riparian areas. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.2.14, 5.2.2, 6.5.12 and 6.5.6.

<b>74160-09</b>	<b>City of Portland (Multnomah County)</b>	<b>\$18,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Upgrade to existing anaerobic digesters, two new anaerobic digesters, and various revegetation projects in the City; this is a sponsorship option application.	
<b>74161-09</b>	<b>City of Portland (Multnomah County)</b>	<b>\$15,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction	Construction of 6,400 feet of 84 inch sewer main to convey combined sewage from the Balch Creek basin to the combined sewer overflow system. In addition the City will construct approximately 3,000 lineal feet of sewer pipe in the same area of the City.	
<b>74162-09</b>	<b>City of Port Orford (Curry County)</b>	<b>\$3,870,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	Replacement of all lift stations in the system, because the 40 year old stations are becoming increasingly difficult to maintain and are experiencing breakdowns.	
<b>74300-09</b>	<b>Powder Valley Water Control District (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$3,662,936</b>
Sec. 319 Design and Construction; Small Community	Construction of pipeline to convey irrigation water currently in open ditches. This project is consistent with the goals of the Oregon Nonpoint Source Control Program Plan (Oct. 2000) sections 2.3.3 and 3.3.1.	
<b>74350-09</b>	<b>City of Powers (Coos County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	New wastewater treatment facility, including effluent irrigation during the dry season.	
<b>74420-09</b>	<b>City of Prairie City (Grant County)</b>	<b>\$208,525</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Replacement of approximately 2,000 feet of sewer main line.	
<b>76070-09</b>	<b>City of Redmond (Deschutes County)</b>	<b>\$4,593,863</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Improvements to the City's stormwater system.	
<b>76210-09</b>	<b>City of Reedsport (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$1,633,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	Replacement of an existing 15-inch sewer line with a larger diameter line, probably 24-inch, along Winchester Avenue.	
<b>77320-09</b>	<b>City of Richland (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$82,500</b>
Sec. 212 Planning; Small Community	Wastewater facilities planning.	
<b>78280-09</b>	<b>City of Rockaway Beach (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$4,368,750</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	Improvements to the City's collection system, primarily pump station upgrades and force mains, and improvements to the treatment plant.	

<b>78489-09</b>	<b>City of Rogue River (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$371,003</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Replacement of sewer pipe, to reduce inflow and infiltration.	
<b>78490-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$519,432</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 2,067 feet of 8 inch concrete sewer pipe, and replacement of service laterals located in the right of way.	
<b>78491-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$170,214</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 944 feet of 8 inch asbestos cement sewer main.	
<b>78492-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$640,461</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Installation of approximately 1,277 feet of new sewer main along Bear Creek Drive and First Street in Phoenix.	
<b>78493-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$277,477</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 1,553 of 8 inch concrete sewer pipe.	
<b>78494-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$79,819</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 361 feet of 6 inch concrete sewer pipe, and replacement of service laterals located within the right of way.	
<b>78495-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$199,341</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 873 feet of 6 inch and 8 inch concrete sewer pipe.	
<b>78496-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$227,010</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 1,232 feet of 8 inch concrete sewer pipe.	
<b>78497-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$180,297</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 660 feet of 8 inch concrete sewer pipe.	
<b>78498-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$281,865</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 1,135 feet of 6 inch PVC sewer pipe and 8 inch concrete sewer pipe.	
<b>78499-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$417,623</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 3,855 feet of 8 inch concrete sewer pipe, and replacement of service laterals located in the right of way.	
<b>78500-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$192,592</b>
	Sec. 212 Design and Construction Replacement of approximately 637 feet of 8 inch concrete sewer pipe, and the service laterals located in the right of way.	

<b>78501-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$713,460</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Replacement of approximately 2,550 feet of 15 inch sewer main, and replacement of service laterals located in the right of way.	
<b>78502-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$705,070</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Replacement of approximately 4,199 feet of old asbestos cement main line.	
<b>78503-09</b>	<b>Rogue Valley Sewer Services (Jackson County)</b>	<b>\$88,160</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Replacement of approximately 134 feet of 6 inch PVC sewer pipe, construction of approximately 266 feet of new sewer main, and construction of service laterals within the right of way.	
<b>78860-09</b>	<b>Roseburg Urban Sanitary Authority (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design Construction	Design and construction of a natural treatment system, including disposal of treated effluent.	
<b>78861-09</b>	<b>City of Roseburg (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$682,684</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Construction of stormwater control facilities at various locations, to reduce untreated discharges to the South Umpqua River.	
<b>80162-09</b>	<b>City of St. Paul (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community	Replacement of two sewer pump lift stations.	
<b>80210-09</b>	<b>City of Salem (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Replacement of the electrical generation facility with a new facility, using digester gas produced at the treatment facility. Electricity from this generator will be used at the wastewater treatment plant.	
<b>80211-09</b>	<b>City of Salem (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$400,019</b>
Sec. 212 Construction/Restoration	Use of bio-swales and rain gardens on Court Street S.E. to eliminate the runoff of untreated stormwater into Pringle Creek.	
<b>80212-09</b>	<b>City of Salem (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$500,924</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction	Elimination of several underground injection control sites and conveyance of stormwater to a vegetated detention basin and discharge to Claggett Creek via a combination pipe/vegetated bioswale to provide treatment.	
<b>80213-09</b>	<b>City of Salem (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$487,922</b>
Sec. 212 Construction	Construction of a bioswale to treat stormwater from a parking lot, to eliminate discharge of untreated stormwater to Clark Creek and then to Pringle Creek.	
<b>82670-09</b>	<b>City of Seaside (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$3,959,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		

Design and construction of improvements to the wastewater treatment plant and outfall, and upgrades to the electrical power distribution and control systems for 7 lift stations.

<b>82920-09</b>	<b>City of Seneca (Grant County)</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Replacement of wastewater treatment pumping and measuring facilities.		
<b>84160-09</b>	<b>Shoreline Sanitary District (Clatsop County)</b>	<b>\$1,700,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction Construction of two pump stations and sewer pipe to convey all District flows to the City of Warrenton system for treatment.		
<b>84480-09</b>	<b>City of Silverton (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Improvements to biosolids treatment and handling system, as well as improvements to maximize use of recycled water and improve secondary treatment control.		
<b>85050-09</b>	<b>City of Sisters (Deschutes County)</b>	<b>\$1,957,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Modifications to pumps in the primary pump station, and expansion of its effluent reuse system.		
<b>86770-09</b>	<b>City of Springfield (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$3,200,125</b>
Sec. 319 Design and Construction Improvements to Springfield's Lower Mill Race, including a side channel.		
<b>86771-09</b>	<b>City of Springfield (Lane County)</b>	<b>\$2,902,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Extension of local sewer main lines from an existing regional trunk line to service properties currently on septic tanks and drain fields, some of which have already failed.		
<b>87590-09</b>	<b>City of Stayton (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$5,830,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction Construction of collection system and treatment system upgrades, as documented in the City's application dated May 9, 2008.		
<b>89310-09</b>	<b>City of Sublimity (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction Inflow and infiltration reduction throughout the City's collection system, including flow monitoring, TV monitoring and pipeline rehabilitation and replacement.		
<b>89430-10</b>	<b>City of Sumpter (Baker County)</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Purchase of land for effluent irrigation, and improvements to the irrigation system.		
<b>89550-10</b>	<b>City of Sutherlin (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>
Sec. 212 Planning Wastewater facilities planning, to address improvements to wastewater treatment system.		
<b>89551-10</b>	<b>City of Sutherlin (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$4,927,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Design and construction to pump station upgrades, and reduction in inflow and infiltration.		
<b>91800-09</b>	<b>City of Toledo (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$1,379,950</b>

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Collection system improvements to reduce inflow and infiltration.	
<b>91800-10 City of Toledo (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$ 125,000</b>
Sec. 212 Facilities Planning; Small Community Wastewater inflow and infiltration study	
<b>92260-09 Tri-City Water &amp; Sanitary Authority (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>
Sec. 212 Construction (will probably also include design costs); Small Community Replacement of Pump Station #3	
<b>92840-09 Twin Rocks Sanitary District (Tillamook County)</b>	<b>\$400,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Upgrades to 3 obsolete pump stations, including pumps, electrical components, and other critical components.	
<b>93640-09 City of Vernonia (Columbia County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of a new wastewater treatment facility, in a new location.	
<b>94340-09 City of Waldport (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$2,293,100</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Sewer service and collection lines, manholes and a pump station for the South Waldport – North Substation Local Improvement District.	
<b>94820-09 Warm Springs Tribes (Jefferson County)</b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Replacement of wastewater treatment facility	
<b>97650-09 Windmaster Sanitary Sewer District (Hood River County)</b>	<b>\$3,285,595</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Construction of a new collection system to serve an area currently not sewered. Sewage will be conveyed to the City of Hood River for treatment.	
<b>97791-09 City of Winston (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$200,700</b>
Sec. 212 Construction Construction of upgrades to the Parkway Lift Station to make the station more efficient and reduce wear on the existing facility.	
<b>98410-09 City of Woodburn (Marion County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Collection, treatment and disposal improvements, including expansion of poplar tree irrigation.	
<b>99100-09 City of Yachats (Lincoln County)</b>	<b>\$425,826</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Replacement of approximately 2,500 lineal feet of sewer line to reduce inflow and infiltration, and rehabilitation of leaking manholes.	
<b>99110-09 City of Yamhill (Yamhill County)</b>	<b>\$138,029</b>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community Collection system improvements to reduce inflow and infiltration.	
<b>99340-09 City of Yoncalla (Douglas County)</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community  
Upgrade of the wastewater treatment plant.

**Table 1: Projects in Alphabetical Order**

Table 1 includes all projects on the CWSRF Project Priority List. This list, however, does not exclusively include projects that are ready to proceed for loan agreements. To be awarded a loan agreement, an applicant must complete all applicable CWSRF requirements, including compliance with the state environmental review process. Projects identified on the previous project priority list in DEQ's IUP dated October 15, 2009 that were funded or asked to be removed have been deleted. In addition, those projects more than three years old and have not requested an extension have also been deleted, as well as those for which the extension period has expired. The list in Table 1 includes projects from the previous project priority list and new project applications received and scored to date.

The Project Priority List is in alphabetical order by applicant, and includes the following additional information requested by EPA: (1) amount requested, (2) the project priority ranking, (3) EPA needs category, (4) current water quality permit number (for federal NPDES permits the EPA "OR" identification number is listed and for state WPCF permits the DEQ identification number is listed), and (5) the preliminary project schedule.

Applicant/Project #	Amount Requested	Priority Ranking	EPA Needs Category	Water Quality Permit Number	Project Schedule		
					Agreement	Start	Completion
Adair Village 10200-09	1,258,400	61	III-A	101701	3/10	4/10	11/10
Albany 10511-09	4,650,000	23	III-B, IV-A	102024	3/10	6/10	12/10
Amity 10950-09	1,140,000	41	I,III-A,III-B	101924	3/10	3/10	9/10
Arch Cape Sanitary Dist. 11420-09	225,600	56	III-A, IV-B	100967	3/10	3/10	12/10
Ashland 11750-09	315,000	131	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Ashland 11751-09	1,100,000	46	III-B	101609	3/10	3/10	6/10
Ashland 11752-09	1,645,280	9	II	101609	3/10	3/10	9/10
Aumsville 11860-09	985,160	15	I	101754	3/10	3/10	7/10
Bandon 12910-09	268,605	91	I	101546	3/10	3/10	10/10
Bay City 13840-09	2,122,500	19	I, III-B	101025	3/10	3/10	9/10
Beaverton 14080-09	409,000	54	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Beaverton 14081-09	170,700	26	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Beaverton 14082-09	3,773,549	26	III-A, IV-A	N/A	3/10	3/10	3/12
Bend 14510-09	17,200,000	113	I,VII-D,VII-E,VII-K	101572	3/10	3/10	9/11
Brookings 18230-09	1,552,498	65	V	101773	3/10	3/10	3/11
Brookings 18231-09	3,004,200	41	III-A	101773	3/10	3/10	9/10
Cannon Beach 20580-09	3,800,000	67	VII-C	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/11
Canyonville 20591-09	600,000	46	I	100532	3/10	4/10	2/11
Canyonville 20592-09	1,400,000	41	I	100532	3/10	3/10	2/11
Carlton 20880-08	1,474,000	46	III-A, III-B	101902	3/10	3/10	8/12
Carlton 20880-10	4,430,000	13		101902	3/10	3/10	9/10
Central Point 21641-09	1,961,816	86	VII-D	N/A	3/10	4/10	10/10

Applicant/Project #	Amount Requested	Priority Ranking	EPA Needs Category	Water Quality Permit Number	Project Schedule		
					Agreement	Start	Completion
Clackamas Co. S.D. #1 22400-10	372,000	9	IV-A	100983	3/10	3/10	9/10
Clackamas County SWCD 22400-09	250,000	46	VII: A,B,C,D,E,I,K	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/11
Clean Water Services 22700-09	17,500,000	89	III-B	101141	3/10	3/10	10/11
Clean Water Services 22701-09	10,700,000	199	I	101141	3/10	3/10	9/10
Coburg 23040-09	1,640,000	29	I	Applied for	3/10	3/10	5/11
Columbia City 23550-10	96,313	34	III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Coos Bay 24190-09	1,600,000	67	III-A	100699	3/10	3/10	11/10
Coos Bay 24191-09	2,500,000	86	III-B	100699	3/10	3/10	11/10
Coos Bay 24192-09	800,000	79	III-B	100699	3/10	3/10	9/10
Cove 24680-09	1,600,000	114	I	101674	3/10	3/10	9/10
Crescent Sanitary Dist. 25140-09	5,950,000	93	I, IV-A	Applied for	3/10	3/10	2/11
Culver 25640-09	2,668,310	91	III-B	102366	3/10	3/10	10/10
Dallas 26110-09	5,000,000	17	I, II	101518	3/10	3/10	12/10
Deschutes County 27611-09	5,000,000	41	VII-D, VII-E	N/A	3/10	3/10	11/10
Devils Lake Water Improve. Dist. 27760-09	762,000	3	VII-D, VII-K	N/A	3/10	3/10	7/10
Dundee 29650-10	10,000,000	5	I, II	101722	3/10	4/10	10/11
Dunes City 29650-09	600,000	73	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	3/11
Eugene 31790-09	2,600,000	19	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	11/10
Garibaldi 35610-09	152,900	29	III-B	102609	3/10	3/10	12/10
Glendale 37450-09	1,384,000	23	III-A	100742	3/10	3/10	11/10
Gold Hill 37811-09	1,775,650	93	I, III-B	102494	3/10	7/10	6/11
Green Sanitary Dist. 38980-09	4,800,000	56	I	100554	3/10	3/10	8/10
Haines 40350-08	74,000	82	I	101375	3/10	3/10	1/11
Halsey 40670-09	700,000	106	III-A, III-B	101297	3/10	3/10	6/10
Harbor SD 41420-10	1,390,000	38	III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Hermiston 43770-09	20,000,000	4	I, II	101294	3/10	3/10	12/10
Hillsboro 44550-09	3,740,000	19	III-A	101144	3/10	3/10	8/10
Hood River 45760-09	1,673,800	79	IIIB, IVA, IVB	101729	3/10	3/10	11/10
Irrigon 47740-09	4,242,540	19	III-B, IV-A	101529	3/10	3/10	6/11
Jefferson 48740-09	5,000,000	73	I, III-B	101178	3/10	3/10	9/10
Klamath Co. Schools 52602-09	1,446,424	15	I	100670	3/10	3/10	8/10
Klamath Falls 52601-09	40,200,000	29	I	100701	3/10	7/10	9/12
Lake Oswego 54410-09	5,000,000	7	III-A	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
LaPine Special Sewer Dist. 55080-09	5,843,070	77	I	102069	3/10	3/10	6/11

Applicant/Project #	Amount Requested	Priority Ranking	EPA Needs Category	Water Quality Permit Number	Project Schedule		
					Agreement	Start	Completion
Lebanon 56200-09	7,000,000	61	IV-B	101771	3/10	3/10	3/11
Lowell 59220-09	624,576	82	IV-A	101384	3/10	3/10	12/10
Madras 62370-09	1,322,514	56	I, IV-A	101739	3/10	3/10	8/11
Malin 62620-09	75,000	114	I	102874	3/10	3/10	11/10
McMinnville 63980-09	2,500,000	34	III-A, III-B, V	101062	3/10	3/10	10/10
Molalla 66140-09	581,801	86	I	101514	3/10	3/10	11/10
Monmouth 66240-09	250,000	129	I	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Monmouth 66241-09	5,533,554	93	I	101919	3/10	3/10	1/11
Monroe 66280-09	1,000,000	17	II, IV-B	101692	3/10	3/10	8/10
Moro 66790-09	1,490,000	112	I, III-B	100600	3/10	3/10	1/11
Myrtle Creek 67980-09	345,000	114	III-B	101014	3/10	3/10	9/10
Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary Dist. 68680-09	20,000,000	114	I	101783	3/10	3/10	2/12
Newberg 68820-09	55,000,000	8	I	100988	3/10	6/11	9/14
Newport 68930-09	5,000,000	67	III-B	102497	3/10	3/10	2/11
Nyssa 69980-09	303,000	67	IV-A	101943	3/10	3/10	9/10
Oakridge 70031-09	2,221,317	106	III-A	102443	3/10	3/10	6/10
Ontario 70610-09	4,508,000	73	I, IIIA, IIIB, IVA	101633	3/10	3/10	2/11
Oregon City 70650-09	270,895	13	VI	101348	3/10	3/10	11/10
Oregon City 70651-09	119,402	29	VI	101348	3/10	5/10	9/10
Port Orford 74162-09	3,870,000	98	I, III-B	100101	3/10	3/10	8/10
Portland 74160-09	18,000,000	79	I	101505	3/10	3/10	10/11
Portland 74161-09	15,000,000	2	V	101505	3/10	3/10	10/11
Powder Valley Water Cntrl Dist. 74300-09	3,662,936	73	VII-A	N/A	3/10	3/10	10/10
Powers 74350-09	5,000,000	56	I, III-B	101694	3/10	3/10	12/10
Prairie City 74420-09	208,525	106	III-A, III-B	101930	3/10	3/10	8/10
Redmond 76070-09	4,593,863	67	VII-E	N/A	3/10	3/10	10/10
Reedsport 76210-09	1,633,000	34	III-B	100941	3/10	3/10	11/10
Richland 77320-09	82,500	131	I	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Rockaway Beach 78280-09	4,368,750	82	I, III-B, IV-B	102580	3/10	3/10	3/11
Rogue River 78489-09	371,003	114	III-A	102588	3/10	3/10	9/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78490-09	519,432	99	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78491-09	170,214	99	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	8/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78492-09	640,461	106	IV-A	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78493-09	277,477	114	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	10/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78494-09	79,819	114	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10

Applicant/Project #	Amount Requested	Priority Ranking	EPA Needs Category	Water Quality Permit Number	Project Schedule		
					Agreement	Start	Completion
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78495-09	199,341	114	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78496-09	227,010	114	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78497-09	180,297	114	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78498-09	281,865	114	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	10/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78499-09	417,623	106	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	10/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78500-09	192,592	99	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78501-09	713,460	34	III-A, III-B, IV-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78502-09	705,070	99	III-A, III-B, IV-A	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Rogue Valley Sewer Services 78503-09	88,160	61	III-A, III-B	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Roseburg 78861-09	682,684	93	VI	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/11
RUSA 78860-09	5,000,000	9	II	100981	3/10	3/10	11/10
Salem 80210-09	5,000,000	93	I	101145	3/10	3/10	12/10
Salem 80211-09	400,019	54	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Salem 80212-09	500,924	46	VII-D	N/A	3/10	3/10	5/10
Salem 80213-09	487,922	38	VII-D, VII-K	N/A	3/10	3/10	6/10
Seaside 82670-09	3,959,000	9	I	102579	3/10	3/10	3/11
Seneca 82920-09	200,000	114	I	101339	3/10	3/10	10/10
Shoreline Sanitary Dist. 84160-09	1,700,000	26	I	100684	3/10	3/10	5/10
Silverton 84480-09	5,000,000	65	I	101720	3/10	3/10	6/11
Sisters 85050-09	1,957,000	99	I, III-B	101779	3/10	3/10	12/10
Springfield 86770-09	3,200,125	23	VII-C, VII-D, VII-K	N/A	3/10	3/10	9/10
Springfield 86771-09	2,902,000	61	IV-A, IV-B	102486	3/10	3/10	2/11
St. Paul 80162-09	250,000	46	V	100888	3/10	3/10	10/10
Stayton 87590-09	5,830,000	41	I, II, III-A	101601	3/10	3/10	6/10
Sublimity 89310-09	250,000	89	III-A	N/A	3/10	3/10	12/10
Sumpter 89430-10	300,000	56	I	N/A	3/10	3/10	5/10
Sutherlin 89550-10	100,000	130	I	101993	3/10	3/10	12/10
Sutherlin 89551-10	4,927,000	46	III-A, III-B	101993	3/10	3/10	6/11
Toledo 91800-09	1,379,950	38	III-A	101713	3/10	3/10	11/10
Toledo 91800-10	125,000	114	I	101713	3/10	3/10	9/10
Tri-City Water & Sanitary Auth. 92260-09	1,500,000	67	I, III-A, V	101014	3/10	3/10	12/10
Twin Rocks Sanitary Dist. 92840-09	400,000	29	III-B	102487	3/10	3/10	11/10

Applicant/Project #	Amount Requested	Priority Ranking	EPA Needs Category	Water Quality Permit Number	Project Schedule		
					Agreement	Start	Completion
Vernonia 93640-09	5,000,000	1	I,II,III,A,IV,A, IVB	101094	3/10	3/10	2/11
Waldport 94340-09	2,293,100	99	IV-A	107816	3/10	3/10	12/10
Warm Springs Tribes 94820-09	3,000,000	77	III-B	OR-003410-0	3/10	3/10	12/10
Windmaster Sanitary Sewer Dist. 97650-09	3,285,595	46	IV-A	101729	3/10	3/10	2/11
Winston 97791-09	200,700	82	III-B	100554	3/10	3/10	8/10
Woodburn 98410-09	5,000,000	5	I,II,III-B	101588	3/10	3/10	6/10
Yachats 99100-09	425,826	114	III-A	100828	3/10	3/10	11/10
Yamhill 99110-09	138,029	114	III-A, III-B	101473	3/10	3/10	8/10
Yoncalla 99340-09	5,000,000	106	I,III-A,III-B	101699	3/10	3/10	6/11

**Table 2: Projects in Rank Order**

Table 2 includes the same projects listed in Table 1, however this list is sorted in project rank order. Table 2 also includes the points assigned during the ranking process, as well as indication whether the project was submitted by a small community and/or is for facilities planning work.

Priority Ranking	Total Points	Project Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Small Community	Planning
1	45	93640-09	Vernonia, City of	5,000,000	SC	
2	44	74161-09	Portland, City of	15,000,000		
3	43	27760-09	Devils Lake Water Improvement Dist.	762,000		
4	42	43770-09	Hermiston, City of	20,000,000		
5	40	29650-10	Dundee, City of	10,000,000	SC	
5	40	98410-09	Woodburn, City of	5,000,000		
7	39	54410-09	Lake Oswego, City of	5,000,000		
8	37	68820-09	Newberg, City of	55,000,000		
9	36	11752-09	Ashland, City of	1,645,280		
9	36	22400-10	Clackamas County Service Dist. #1	372,000		
9	36	78860-09	Roseburg Urban Sanitary Authority	5,000,000		
9	36	82670-09	Seaside, City of	3,959,000		
13	35	20880-10	Carlton, City of	4,430,000	SC	
13	35	70650-09	Oregon City, City of	270,895		
15	34	11860-09	Aumsville, City of	985,160	SC	
15	34	52602-09	Klamath County School District	1,446,424	SC	
17	33	26110-09	Dallas, City of	5,000,000		
17	33	66280-09	Monroe, City of	1,000,000	SC	
19	32	13840-09	Bay City, City of	2,122,500	SC	
19	32	31790-09	Eugene, City of	2,600,000		
19	32	44550-09	Hillsboro, City of	3,740,000		
19	32	47740-09	Irrigon, City of	4,242,540	SC	

Priority Ranking	Total Points	Project Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Small Community	Planning
23	31	10511-09	Albany, City of	4,650,000		
23	31	37450-09	Glendale, City of	1,384,000	SC	
23	31	86770-09	Springfield, City of	3,200,125		
26	30	14081-09	Beaverton, City of	170,700		
26	30	14082-09	Beaverton, City of	3,773,549		
26	30	84160-09	Shoreline Sanitary District	1,700,000	SC	
29	29	23040-09	Coburg, City of	1,640,000	SC	
29	29	35610-09	Garibaldi, City of	152,900	SC	
29	29	52601-09	Klamath Falls, City of	40,200,000		
29	29	70651-09	Oregon City, City of	119,402		
29	29	92840-09	Twin Rocks Sanitary Dist.	400,000	SC	
34	28	23550-10	Columbia City, City of	96,313	SC	
34	28	63980-09	McMinnville, City of	2,500,000		
34	28	76210-09	Reedsport, City of	1,633,000	SC	
34	28	78501-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	713,460		
38	27	41420-10	Harbor Sanitary District	1,390,000	SC	
38	27	80213-09	Salem, City of	487,922		
38	27	91800-09	Toledo, City of	1,379,950	SC	
41	26	10950-09	Amity, City of	1,140,000	SC	
41	26	18231-09	Brookings, City of	3,004,200		
41	26	20592-09	Canyonville, City of	1,400,000	SC	
41	26	27611-09	Deschutes County	5,000,000	SC	
41	26	87590-09	Stayton, City of	5,830,000		
46	25	11751-09	Ashland, City of	1,100,000		
46	25	20591-09	Canyonville, City of	600,000	SC	
46	25	20880-08	Carlton, City of	1,474,000	SC	
46	25	22400-09	Clackamas County SWCD	250,000		
46	25	80212-09	Salem, City of	500,924		
46	25	80162-09	St. Paul, City of	250,000	SC	
46	25	89551-10	Sutherlin, City of	4,927,000		
46	25	97650-09	Windmaster Corner Sewer District	3,285,595	SC	
54	24	14080-09	Beaverton, City of	409,000		
54	24	80211-09	Salem, City of	400,019		
56	23	11420-09	Arch Cape Sanitary District	225,600	SC	
56	23	38980-09	Green Sanitary District	4,800,000		
56	23	62370-09	Madras, City of	1,322,514		
56	23	74350-09	Powers, City of	5,000,000	SC	
56	23	89430-10	Sumpter, City of	300,000	SC	
61	22	10200-09	Adair Village, City of	1,258,400	SC	
61	22	56200-09	Lebanon, City of	7,000,000		
61	22	78503-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	88,160		
61	22	86771-09	Springfield, City of	2,902,000		
65	21	18230-09	Brookings, City of	1,552,498		
65	21	84480-09	Silverton, City of	5,000,000		

Priority Ranking	Total Points	Project Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Small Community	Planning
67	20	20580-09	Cannon Beach, City of	3,800,000	SC	
67	20	24190-09	Coos Bay, City of	1,600,000		
67	20	68930-09	Newport, City of	5,000,000		
67	20	69980-09	Nyssa, City of	303,000	SC	
67	20	76070-09	Redmond, City of	4,593,863		
67	20	92260-09	Tri-City Water & Sanitary Authority	1,500,000	SC	
73	19	29650-09	Dunes City, City of	600,000	SC	
73	19	48740-09	Jefferson, City of	5,000,000	SC	
73	19	70610-09	Ontario, City of	4,508,000		
73	19	74300-09	Powder Valley Water Control Dist.	3,662,936	SC	
77	18	55080-09	LaPine Special Sewer District	5,843,070	SC	
77	18	94820-09	Warm Springs Tribe	3,000,000	SC	
79	17	24192-09	Coos Bay, City of	800,000		
79	17	45760-09	Hood River, City of	1,673,800		
79	17	74160-09	Portland, City of	18,000,000		
82	16	40350-08	Haines, City of	74,000	SC	
82	16	59220-09	Lowell, City of	624,576	SC	
82	16	78280-09	Rockaway Beach, City of	4,368,750	SC	
82	16	97791-09	Winston, City of	200,700		
86	15	21641-09	Central Point, City of	1,961,816		
86	15	24191-09	Coos Bay, City of	2,500,000		
86	15	66140-09	Molalla, City of	581,801		
89	14	22700-09	Clean Water Services	17,500,000		
89	14	89310-09	Sublimity, City of	250,000	SC	
91	13	12910-09	Bandon, City of	268,605	SC	
91	13	25640-09	Culver, City of	2,668,310	SC	
93	12	25140-09	Crescent Sanitary District	5,950,000	SC	
93	12	37811-09	Gold Hill, City of	1,775,650	SC	
93	12	66241-09	Monmouth, City of	5,533,554		
93	12	78861-09	Roseburg, City of	682,684		
93	12	80210-09	Salem, City of	5,000,000		
98	11	74162-09	Port Orford, City of	3,870,000	SC	
99	10	22701-09	Clean Water Services	10,700,000		
99	10	78490-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	519,432		
99	10	78491-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	170,214		
99	10	78500-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	192,592		
99	10	78502-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	705,070		
99	10	85050-09	Sisters, City of	1,957,000	SC	
99	10	94340-09	Waldport, City of	2,293,100	SC	
106	9	40670-09	Halsey, City of	700,000	SC	
106	9	70031-09	Oakridge, City of	2,221,317	SC	
106	9	74420-09	Prairie City, City of	208,525	SC	
106	9	78492-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	640,461		
106	9	78499-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	417,623		

Priority Ranking	Total Points	Project Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Small Community	Planning
106	9	99340-09	Yoncalla, City of	5,000,000	SC	
112	8	66790-09	Moro, City of	1,490,000	SC	
113	7	14510-09	Bend, City of	17,200,000		
114	6	24680-09	Cove, City of	1,600,000	SC	
114	6	62620-09	Malin, City of	75,000	SC	
114	6	67980-09	Myrtle Creek, City of	345,000	SC	
114	6	68680-09	Netarts-Oceanside Sanitary District	20,000,000	SC	
114	6	78489-09	Rogue River, City of	371,003	SC	
114	6	78493-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	277,477		
114	6	78494-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	79,819		
114	6	78495-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	199,341		
114	6	78496-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	227,010		
114	6	78497-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	180,297		
114	6	78498-09	Rogue Valley Sewer Services	281,865		
114	6	82920-09	Seneca, City of	200,000	SC	
114	6	91800-10	Toledo, City of	125,000	SC	FP
114	6	99100-09	Yachats, City of	425,826	SC	
114	6	99110-09	Yamhill, City of	138,029	SC	
129	4	66240-09	Monmouth, City of	250,000		FP
130	2	89550-10	Sutherlin, City of	100,000		FP
131	0	11750-09	Ashland, City of	315,000		
131	0	77320-09	Richland, City of	82,500	SC	FP

### Table 3: Applicants Ready to Proceed

Table 3 includes projects that have submitted a loan application and have completed all CWSRF requirements. This table is presented in project rank order. These projects are considered to be “ready to proceed” and are eligible to receive a loan. Based on available funds, DEQ has determined that not all projects listed below will receive funding.

Applicant	Applicant Number	Description	Amount Requested	Rank	Points
Hermiston, City of	43770-09	WWTP Upgrades	20,000,000	4	42
Newberg, City of	68820-09	WWTP Improvements	55,000,000	8	37
Oregon City, City of	70650-09	Warner Milne Road stormwater treatment	270,895	13	35
Irrigon, City of	47740-09	New sewer mains and lift station	4,242,540	19	32
Harbor Sanitary District	41420-10		1,390,000	38	27
Clackamas County SWCD	22400-09	Local Community Loan	250,000	46	25
Windmaster Sewer Service District	97650-09	New sewer collection system	3,285,595	46	25
Madras, City of	62370-09	Collection system/effluent disposal upgrade	1,322,514	57	23
Portland, City of	74160-09	Anaerobic Digesters and Sponsorship Option	18,000,000	80	17
Bend, City of	14510-09	Improvements to treatment system	17,200,000	114	7
Monmouth, City of	66240-09	Facilities Planning	250,000	130	4
Richland, City of	77320-09	Facilities Planning	82,500	132	0

## Priority Ranking Criteria

An application for an eligible proposed project is ranked based on the program's criteria established by Oregon Administrative Rule 340-054-0025(4) Table 1. Criteria are as follows:

### Category 1: Proposed Project's anticipated benefit for water quality or public health

- 1A**—(0 or 8 points) - Project addresses water quality or public health issue within a "special status" water body
- 1B**—(0-6 points) - Project addresses noncompliance with water quality standards, a public health issue or effluent limits related to surface waters
- 1C**—(0-6 points) - Project addresses noncompliance with water quality standards or a public health issue related to groundwater
- 1D**—(0-12 points) - Project ensures that a source already in compliance maintains that compliance.
- 1E**—(0-8 points) - Project improves or sustains aquatic habitat supporting state or federally threatened or endangered species
- 1F**—(0-12 points) - Project incorporates wastewater reuse or a water quality-related conservation process
- 1G**—(0-7 points) - Project improves water quality by mitigating any of the following pollutants: temperature, dissolved oxygen, contaminated sediments, toxics on the EPA Priority Pollutants List, bacteria or nutrients
- 1H**—(0-5 points) - Project supports the implementation of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocation or action plan for a Ground Water Management Area
- 1I**—(0-6 points) - Project addresses a water quality or public health issue involving "Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxics" (PBT's)

### Category 2: Potential water quality or public health consequences of not funding the proposed project

- 2A**—(0-5 points) - If the proposed project is not implemented, water quality standards are likely to be exceeded or existing exceedances are likely to worsen
- 2B**—(0-5 points) - If the proposed project is not implemented, the resulting impact is likely to cause a public health problem
- 2C**—(0-5 points) - A unique opportunity to implement the proposed project currently exists due to timing, finances or other limitations that would not allow this project to be implemented in the future

### Category 3: Other considerations

- 3A**—(0-3 points) - Project has significant educational or outreach component
- 3B**—(0-3 points) - Project demonstrates innovative technology which is transferable
- 3C**—(0-3 points) - Project is a partnership with other group(s), incorporating self-help, financial or in-kind support
- 3D**—(0-5 points) - Project incorporates monitoring, reporting or adaptive management
- 3E**—(0 or 1 point) - Project addresses or includes risk management, safety or security measures
- 3F**—(0-minus 5 points) - Applicant's past performance with previous Department loans or grants such as, but not limited to, failure to satisfy match requirements of a grant, failure to complete the project or failure to submit any other required deliverable in a timely manner.

## Funds Available for Loans

Tables 4 and 5 provide two calculations of funds available for FY 2010 with:

- No receipt of the FY 2010 federal capitalization grant, and
- Full (estimated) FY 2010 federal capitalization grant and related State match

These calculations were done so DEQ can begin to provide financial assistance after the IUP public comment period and when this IUP is finalized. The federal capitalization grant for FY 2010 is projected to not be available until March 2010. DEQ intends to begin funding new projects after this IUP is finalized so a calculation of program funds is listed with the assumption of receiving no grant. When the full federal grant is received, DEQ will proceed to issue funding on that basis. DEQ will sell bonds in the amount of \$10,000,000 to raise matching funds for \$50,000,000 in future federal capitalization grant money. The first \$5,000,000 bond issue was sold November 12, 2009; the additional \$5,000,000 is scheduled to be sold in the Spring of 2010.

### Annual Capitalization Grant Schedule

DEQ was awarded the remainder of its FY 2009 regular annual capitalization grant, in the amount of \$4,755,031, on September 9, 2009 and intends to draw the entire amount of federal money by March 31, 2010.

## Funds Available With No Grant

Table 4 identifies the funds available for new loans and amendments during FY 2010, assuming there will be no FY 2010 federal capitalization grant and related State match. Although it is very unlikely that grant money won't be available during FY 2010, a schedule of available funds is included in this IUP as DEQ will be proceeding to execute loans prior to the award of the federal capitalization grant.

The "Sources of Funds" section identifies the total amount of the fund and its sources of capitalization and revenue on a cumulative basis. The "Uses of Funds" shows the amount allocated to existing loans and administration expense. Adding the two sections together yields the net amount available for new loans and amendments.

**Table 4: Estimated Loan Funds Available for FY 2010 – No Grant**

Source of Funds	Actual Through FY 2008	Actual For FY 2009	Estimated For FY 2010	Total
Federal Capitalization Grants	293,137,085	7,664,700	0	300,801,785
State Match	59,438,875	0	10,000,000	69,438,875
ARRA 2009 Grant	0	44,271,000	0	44,271,000
Investment Earnings	30,471,915	500,000	250,000	31,221,915
Loan Principal Repayments	183,089,986	24,970,290	90,386,198	298,446,474
Loan Interest Payments	88,056,248	9,872,046	33,543,806	131,472,100
Transfer From Loan Fees Account	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000
<b>Total Sources of Cash</b>	<b>657,194,109</b>	<b>87,278,036</b>	<b>134,180,004</b>	<b>878,652,149</b>
<b>Use of Funds</b>				
Loans and Amendments	684,668,424	39,272,983	44,271,000	768,212,407
Administration Expense pd w/Grant	8,863,620	0	0	8,863,620
Debt Service on Match Bonds	25,279,899	1,615,454	1,698,455	28,593,808
<b>Total Uses of Cash</b>	<b>718,811,943</b>	<b>40,888,437</b>	<b>45,969,455</b>	<b>805,669,835</b>
<b>Sources of Cash less Uses of Cash</b>	<b>-61,617,834</b>	<b>46,389,599</b>	<b>88,210,549</b>	<b>72,982,314</b>
<b>Net Available to Loan - FY 2010</b>			<b>72,982,314</b>	<b>72,982,314</b>

### Funds Available With the Federal Grant and Related State Match

Table 5 calculates the estimated amount of funds available for loans during FY 2010, including an estimate of a \$23,017,000 federal grant and the related State match. The presentation is the same as in the above schedule.

**Table 5: Estimated Loan Funds Available for FY 2010 – With Federal Grant**

Source of Funds	Actual Through FY 2008	Actual For FY 2009	Estimated For FY 2010	Total
Federal Capitalization Grants	293,137,085	7,664,700	23,017,000	323,818,785
State Match	59,438,875	0	10,000,000	69,438,875
ARRA 2009 Grant	0	44,271,000	0	44,271,000
Investment Earnings	30,471,915	500,000	250,000	31,221,915
Loan Principal Repayments	183,089,986	24,970,290	90,386,198	298,446,474
Loan Interest Payments	88,056,248	9,872,046	33,543,806	131,472,100
Transfer From Loan Fees Account	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,000
<b>Total Sources of Cash</b>	<b>657,194,109</b>	<b>87,278,036</b>	<b>157,197,004</b>	<b>901,669,149</b>
<b>Use of Funds</b>				
Loans and Amendments	684,668,424	39,272,983	44,271,000	768,212,407
Administration Expense pd w/Grant	8,863,620	0	0	8,863,620
Debt Service on Match Bonds	25,279,899	1,615,454	1,698,455	28,593,808
<b>Total Uses of Cash</b>	<b>718,811,943</b>	<b>40,888,437</b>	<b>45,969,455</b>	<b>805,669,835</b>
<b>Sources of Cash less Uses of Cash</b>	<b>-61,617,834</b>	<b>46,389,599</b>	<b>111,227,549</b>	<b>95,999,314</b>
<b>Net Available to Loan - FY 2010</b>			<b>95,999,314</b>	<b>95,999,314</b>

## Capitalization Funds

This Intended Use Plan will be used to allocate any additional federal or state funds which become available during FY 2010. The capitalization grant application will include a proposed federal payment schedule based on loan project schedules and when the cash will be needed. DEQ believes the FY 2010 federal capitalization grant will be available by March 2010.

State matching funds for the FY 2010 federal capitalization grant will be raised through the sale of general obligation bonds. When bonds are sold to raise this match, the CWSRF loan program will be required to pay the debt service on this bond, and this will have an impact on the amount of funds available to make loans, both this year and in future years. Annual debt service on bonds issued (estimated to be issued in the amount of \$10,000,000) would average approximately \$802,426, which would reduce the amount of funds available to make loans. In addition to the cost of the FY 2009, 2010 and 2011 match bonds, the CWSRF will also pay \$1,622,358 in debt service costs during FY 2010 on bonds issued in previous years to provide matching funds for the program, further reducing the amount of funds available for loans. This debt service has a significant limiting effect on the availability of funds needed to make loans over the long term.

### Investment Earnings

Investment earnings are projected conservatively for FY 2010, based on declining market interest rates and the gradually decreasing cash balance of the CWSRF as more loan funds are disbursed. The long term goal is to keep the cash reserves low by balancing the need for a cash reserve to cover the timing variances of the projects against the goal of keeping the funds in use by communities. As the program's available cash balance gradually declines, due to the disbursement of funds to borrowers, investment interest earnings will also gradually decline.

### Loan Repayments

Projected repayments in the amount of \$123,930,004 are included in the cash available for new loans, and this amount includes the following three categories (from most time certain to least time certain):

- 1) Repayments on loans that are fully disbursed and already in repayment,
- 2) Repayments of interim loans with long-term financing through USDA Rural Development, and
- 3) Repayments on signed loans that are not fully disbursed at this time but are expected to be in repayment before the end of 2009.

The estimates for 2) and 3) are least time certain since changes to the repayment schedules, as projects are completed, tend to move repayments out in time (projects seldom finish ahead of schedule and frequently finish later than scheduled). However, unscheduled prepayments tend to increase the actual repayments received. New loans for short-term projects also increase the repayments actually received. The net effect of these factors in recent years has been to increase actual repayments received over the amount projected. In addition, this estimate of repayments to be received does not include repayments from loans not yet executed, but which could be signed and in repayment during the period of this IUP.

The amount of repayments stated above includes an estimate of repayments to be received in FY 2010 and FY 2011 based on existing loans. FY 2011 is included in this calculation as the demand for loan disbursement funds has historically been spread over at least three years after loan execution, and the inclusion of two years' loan repayments better matches the supply of funds to the demand for those funds. Failure to provide loans at a level that can be supported by the program means fewer water quality projects completed and higher balances of CWSRF cash remaining unused. Future

calculations of funds available may be adjusted up or down as conditions warrant. The CWSRF program cash balance has been decreasing as DEQ continues to disburse loan funds at a rate greater than the receipt of loan repayments. The projected repayment calculation also includes a significant loan prepayment that DEQ received in September 2009 from a borrower and that amount will be used to fund other projects listed in this IUP.

### **Administrative Expenses**

Administrative expenses are paid by utilizing the fee income from loans. Loan fees continue to be assessed through an annual loan fee in the amount of 0.5% of the unpaid loan balance as prescribed in OAR 340-054-0065(7). This fee will also be assessed to loans made with ARRA funds. Administrative activity continues to be monitored to ensure the program is scaled at a level that can be supported by the on-going fee base. DEQ is confident that the collection of loan fees will actually be greater than the amount of administrative expense, and will monitor the fees fund to ensure an adequate source of revenue to support the program. Revenues from this fee are kept in an account outside the regular CWSRF and are used solely to pay the administrative costs of the program. As of January 31, 2010, the CWSRF program had approximately \$7.0 million available for payment of administrative expenses.

### **Loan Terms and Conditions**

Loan terms and conditions under the CWSRF loan program are governed by Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Chapter 340, Division 54. Terms prescribed by these rules include a maximum repayment term of 20 years after project completion, and interest rates based on the average 20 year municipal bond rate, as published by the Federal Reserve. The average bond rate is calculated on a quarterly basis, and then a percentage of that rate is used for the CWSRF loan interest rate on loans signed in the subsequent calendar quarter. These percentages are stated in OAR 340-054-0065(5) and any loan not using ARRA money will include these terms. Loans with different repayment terms may have different interest rates. DEQ also charges an annual loan fee, equal to 0.5% of the unpaid loan balance, beginning with the second payment.

## **Loan Allocations**

Each year the maximum loan per borrower is established together with the Planning Loan Reserve and Small Community Reserve. These amounts are based on the total available funds as listed in the Intended Use Plan. For 2010, the maximum loan amount per borrower (including amendments) is \$10,947,347 (\$14,399,897 assuming receipt of the federal grant). The minimum amount to be allocated to small communities (population of 5,000 or less) is \$10,947,347 (\$14,399,897 assuming receipt of the federal grant).

The minimum amount to be allocated to planning projects is \$859,500. If the Planning Loan Reserve is not used, any remaining funds will be allocated to design or construction projects in priority order during the final quarter of the year. If additional planning money is needed, after review of new applications the planning allocation noted above may be increased, not to exceed \$3,000,000 (per OAR 340-054-0025(6)(c)(D)). Applications for planning loans will be accepted throughout the fiscal year and projects will be funded until such time as the reserve is fully allocated, or until any remaining reserve is reallocated to design or construction projects.

The CWSRF loan program also has established the Expedited Loan Reserve. This will be used to fund loans that qualify as expedited loans under the program administrative rules. This reserve is not to exceed \$2,000,000. If the reserve is not obligated to projects during the fiscal year, any remaining funds available from this reserve on May 31<sup>st</sup> will be transferred to the general supply of funds. The

Expedited Loan Reserve has been eliminated for the current fiscal year 2010 under the new temporary rule for the special reserve funding (OAR 340-054-0112).

Projects are not allocated loan funds until a complete application is received and approved. Each year all unfunded (or partially funded) applications are considered and any available funds are allocated to projects in priority order. Small communities and planning projects receive these funds first and then all remaining projects. Small community or planning projects that are not fully funded through the Small Community or Planning Reserves may be funded with the remaining funds, but only by competing with all other projects in priority order.

DEQ does not expect to fund any projects for more than the maximum amount per borrower. However, this could occur if there are not enough eligible project applications during FY 2010 that DEQ could allocate funding to. If this occurs, any remaining available funding may be offered to existing borrowers on a competitive basis.

The CWSRF gives funding priority for loan increases to existing projects that will assist communities until projects are complete (OAR 340-054-0025(6)(c)). The amount and timing of these loan increases varies from year to year, and can have a significant impact on the amount of funds available for new projects. Increases to existing loans during FY 2010 will utilize a significant amount of the funds available, although new projects will also be funded under the special reserve that was established by new temporary rules as described below. DEQ will not utilize ARRA funding for loan increases as stated in OAR 340-054-0104(2).

### **FY 2010 CWSRF Special Reserve Funding**

Based on the great interest in ARRA funding in Oregon, DEQ wanted to supplement the stimulus funding that ARRA provided by making a portion of the state fiscal year 2010 program funds available for priority projects that did not receive funding. To accomplish this, the Environmental Quality Commission adopted temporary CWSRF rules at their August 21, 2009 meeting. These rules are effective for 180 days beginning September 1, 2009 and allow DEQ to set aside a portion of the state fiscal year 2010 program funds for a special reserve. This special reserve includes 50 percent of the funds available for FY 2010 and does not include any federal grant money. Funds available in this reserve will not be used for loan increases, and will be allocated to new priority projects in this IUP. Loans made from the special reserve will be at a zero percent (0%) interest rate and the loan amount allocated to any one borrower may not exceed \$5 million. The allocation of the special reserve is presented in the tables below in a separate column.

### **Proposed State Fiscal Year 2010 Loan Activity**

The following two tables include projects proposed to be funded with FY 2010 funds, based on the calculations of funds available as stated in Tables 4 and 5:

- Table 6 assumes no FY 2010 federal capitalization grant, and
- Table 7 assumes receipt of FY 2010 federal capitalization grant. Information is also provided on the requests for loan increases and applications that have already been received by the program.

The top section of the tables shows the projects that may receive increases to their existing loans, and the lower section shows new loans that may be executed, based on applications already received as well as the highest ranking projects that could be funded if ready to proceed. Applications will be accepted throughout the fiscal year, and funds will be allocated as projects become ready to proceed and funds are available. As indicated in these tables, there is a remaining balance in the Facility Planning loan reserve. DEQ will leave this unallocated balance in the reserve to provide communities the opportunity to submit project applications during FY 2010 and obtain funding as allowed under CWSRF requirements. *Tables 6 and 7 are projected estimates only and the actual FY 2010 funding activity may be different based on the demand for loan funds, the overall ranking of projects, and if a project is ready to proceed.*

**Table 6: Estimated FY 2010 Activity with No Grant**

Project	Facility Planning	Small Comm.	Special Reserve	Other	FP, SC and Other Total	Remaining Available SRF Funds
Current FY Available Funds:	<b>859,500</b>	<b>10,947,347</b>	<b>31,918,385</b>	<b>29,257,082</b>		<b>72,982,314</b>
Uses of Available Funds:						
<b>Increases</b>						
Clackamas Co. Service Dist.				5,000,000	5,000,000	67,982,314
Gold Beach (37812) *		(145)			(145)	67,982,459
MWMC				7,768,038	7,768,038	60,214,421
Tillamook, City of		2,197,062			2,197,062	58,017,359
Woodburn, City of *				3,736,914	3,736,914	54,280,445
Netarts-Oceanside San. Dist.		600,000			600,000	53,680,445
Central Oregon Irrigation Dist.				1,500,000	1,500,000	52,180,445
Pendleton, City of				2,400,000	2,400,000	49,780,445
Reedsport, City of *		2,393,879		306,121	2,700,000	47,080,445
Astoria, City of				1,300,000	1,300,000	45,780,445
Albany, City of *				1,038,124	1,038,124	44,742,321
St. Helens, City of				4,500,000	4,500,000	40,242,321
East Fork Irrigation Dist. (30141)		150,000			150,000	40,092,321
Three Sisters Irrigation Dist.		334,660			334,660	39,757,661
Moro (Planning) *	119,500				119,500	39,638,161
Gresham (39190) *				(2,317,942)	(2,317,942)	41,956,103
Stanfield (87161) *		30,653			30,653	41,925,450
Deschutes SWCD *				(21,501)	(21,501)	41,946,951
Butte Falls (19810) *		17,000			17,000	41,929,951
Gardiner Sanitary Dist. *	50,000				50,000	41,879,951
Subtotals	169,500	5,723,109	0	25,209,754	31,102,363	
Balance=	690,000	5,224,238	31,918,385	4,047,328	41,879,951	41,879,951
<b>New Loans</b>						
Applicant:						
Monmouth, City of	150,000				150,000	41,729,951
Nyssa, City of *	100,000				100,000	41,629,951
Drain, City of *	90,000				90,000	41,539,951
Sutherlin, City of	100,000				100,000	41,439,951
Hermiston, City of				4,047,328	4,047,328	37,392,623
Gresham, City of *			5,000,000		5,000,000	32,392,623
Klamath County School Dist. *			2,472,000		2,472,000	29,920,623
Gold Beach, City of *			5,000,000		5,000,000	24,920,623
Three Sisters Irrigation Dist. *			2,000,000		2,000,000	22,920,623
Sweet Home, City of *			5,000,000		5,000,000	17,920,623
Oak Lodge Sanitary Dist. *			5,000,000		5,000,000	12,920,623
Riddle, City of *			2,523,125		2,523,125	10,397,498
Florence, City of *			4,923,260		4,923,260	5,474,238
Athena, City of		1,543,900			1,543,900	3,930,338
Charleston Sanitary Dist.		1,035,150			1,035,150	2,895,188
Irrigon, City of		2,645,188			2,645,188	250,000
					0	250,000
Subtotal	440,000	5,224,238	31,918,385	4,047,328	41,629,951	
Balance=	250,000	0	0	0	250,000	

**Table 7: Estimated FY 2010 Activity with Grant**

Project	Facility Planning	Small Comm.	Special Reserve	Other	FP, SC and Other Total	Remaining Available SRF Funds
Current FY Available Funds:	859,500	14,399,897	31,918,385	48,821,532		95,999,314
Uses of Available Funds:						
<b>Increases (decreases)</b>						
Clackamas Co. Service Dist.				5,000,000	5,000,000	90,999,314
Gold Beach (37812) *		(145)			(145)	90,999,459
MWMC				7,768,038	7,768,038	83,231,421
Tillamook, City of		2,197,062			2,197,062	81,034,359
Woodburn, City of *				3,736,914	3,736,914	77,297,445
Netarts-Oceanside San. Dist.		600,000			600,000	76,697,445
Pendleton, City of				2,400,000	2,400,000	74,297,445
Reedsport, City of *		2,700,000			2,700,000	71,597,445
Three Sisters Irrigation Dist.		334,660			334,660	71,262,785
Central Or. Irrigation Dist.				1,500,000	1,500,000	69,762,785
Moro (Planning) *	119,500				119,500	69,643,285
Astoria, City of				1,300,000	1,300,000	68,343,285
Albany, City of *				1,038,124	1,038,124	67,305,161
St. Helens, City of				4,500,000	4,500,000	62,805,161
Gresham (39190) *				(2,317,942)	(2,317,942)	65,123,103
Stanfield (87161) *		30,653			30,653	65,092,450
East Fork Irrigation District (30141)		150,000			150,000	64,942,450
Deschutes SWCD *				(21,501)	(21,501)	64,963,951
Butte Falls (19810) *		17,000			17,000	64,946,951
Gardiner Sanitary District *	50,000				50,000	64,896,951
Subtotals	169,500	6,029,230	0	24,903,633	31,102,363	
Balance=	690,000	8,370,667	31,918,385	23,917,899	64,896,951	64,896,951
<b>New Loans</b>						
Applicant:						
Monmouth, City of	150,000				150,000	64,746,951
Nyssa, City of *	100,000				100,000	64,646,951
Drain, City of *	90,000				90,000	64,556,951
Sutherlin, City of	100,000				100,000	64,456,951
Hermiston, City of				14,409,235	14,409,235	50,047,716
Newberg, City of				9,508,664	9,508,664	40,539,052
Gresham, City of *			5,000,000		5,000,000	35,539,052
Klamath County School Dist. *			2,472,000		2,472,000	33,067,052
Gold Beach, City of *			5,000,000		5,000,000	28,067,052
Three Sisters Irrigation Dist. *			2,000,000		2,000,000	26,067,052
Sweet Home, City of *			5,000,000		5,000,000	21,067,052
Oak Lodge Sanitary Dist. *			5,000,000		5,000,000	16,067,052
Riddle, City of *			2,523,125		2,523,125	13,543,927
Florence, City of *			4,923,260		4,923,260	8,620,667
Athena, City of		1,543,900			1,543,900	7,076,767
Charleston Sanitary Dist.		1,035,150			1,035,150	6,041,617
Irrigon, City of		3,602,309			3,602,309	2,439,308
Dundee, City of		2,189,308			2,189,308	250,000
Subtotal	440,000	8,370,667	31,918,385	23,917,899	64,646,951	
Balance=	250,000	0	0	0	250,000	

Those projects above marked with an asterisk (\*) represent activity that has already been executed between DEQ and the borrower

### Timely Use of Funds

It is the intent of the CWSRF Loan Program to use funds in a timely and expeditious manner. EPA requires funds to be committed within one year of the time they become available, with some exceptions. Table 8 documents the Oregon CWSRF loan program compliance with EPA’s requirement. This calculation is accurate as of June 30, 2009.

**Table 8: Binding Commitments and Funds Available**

EPA requires that the program execute binding commitments at least equal to the amount of funds available in the program, within one year of that fund availability. This schedule calculates the amount of funds available as of June 30, 2008, and compares that amount to the binding commitments executed as of June 30, 2009.

<b>FUNDS AVAILABLE AS OF JUNE 30, 2008</b>						
<b>Total Federal Cap Grants Awarded</b>	<b>Total State Match</b>	<b>Total Principal Repayments</b>	<b>Total Interest Repayments</b>	<b>Total Investment Interest</b>	<b>Total Early Principal Repayments FY 06 – 08*</b>	<b>TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE</b>
293,137,085	59,453,605	175,698,821	88,056,248	30,387,205	7,391,165	639,341,799
<b>TOTAL BINDING COMMITMENTS AS OF JUNE 30, 2009</b>						719,584,667
<b>BINDING COMMITMENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FROM 2008</b>						112.6%

\* DEQ has 3 years to commit early principal payments

### Loan Award By-Pass Procedure

Each loan application submitted to DEQ is scored and ranked by CWSRF program staff and is included in this IUP. Projects can only be funded if they are included in this IUP and are “ready to proceed”. “Ready to proceed” includes satisfying all CWSRF requirements, including for example, a land use compatibility statement, an environmental review (if applicable) and documentation supporting compliance with the federal cross cutting authorities. An applicant is not considered ready to execute a loan agreement until all such requirements have been satisfied.

If enough projects are ready to proceed, the CWSRF loan program may not have sufficient funds available to accommodate all those requests. In this situation, DEQ will award loans to projects that are ready to proceed in rank order based on the Project Priority List ranking as published in this IUP. If the number of projects ready to proceed does not require all available CWSRF program funds, DEQ will award loans without regard to ranking, since available funds will accommodate all projects ready to proceed. This encourages applicants to proceed with their projects, and helps to put CWSRF funds to work in a timely manner.

The by-pass procedure is used to award loans from the ARRA and special reserve funding to ensure the timely use of these funds.

### Environmental Review

Environmental review requirements for projects have been modified in recent years except as required by DEQ and other agencies outside of the CWSRF program. DEQ has met EPA Title II equivalency requirements. A proposed State Environmental Review Process was submitted to EPA and approved by EPA in February 2008. This State Environmental Review Process is included as part of the Oregon CWSRF Program Procedures Manual, which is available upon request and also can be found on DEQ's web site.

## **Operating Agreement**

The Operating Agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 and the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program includes the procedures, assurances, certifications, Title II requirements, applicable federal authorities and laws, and other on-going documentation for the program. The Operating Agreement is discussed here to incorporate the required assurances, certifications and other documentation by reference. Copies of the Operating Agreement are available upon request.

## **Single Audit Act**

Borrowers who have been disbursed federal under DEQ's CWSRF program may be subject to the requirements of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133. DEQ will monitor borrowers' compliance with those requirements in an amount equal to the capitalization grants.

## **Public Involvement Activities**

Invitations to submit applications were sent to all Oregon jurisdictions, sanitary districts, and other interested parties in December 2008. The following public notice inviting comments on the Proposed IUP was printed in the Daily Journal of Commerce on March 1, 2010:

**PUBLIC NOTICE**  
**Clean Water State Revolving Fund**  
**Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan**  
**State Fiscal Year 2010**

Notice Issued: March 1, 2010  
Comments Due: March 31, 2010

## **WHAT IS PROPOSED**

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has prepared a Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan – State Fiscal Year 2010 for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan Program in accordance with procedures set Oregon Administrative Rules, Chapter 340, Division 54.

The Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan is part of the federal grant application process for DEQ to receive federal funds made available for the creation of state revolving funds under authority of the Clean Water Act. The plan also outlines how DEQ proposes to use funds currently available in the program for state fiscal year 2010. These funds are used to provide low cost loans to public agencies for water pollution abatement projects, including eligible wastewater treatment, nonpoint source, and estuary management projects. After the close of the public comment period, DEQ will address any comments

received, finalize the Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan, and anticipates funding eligible projects beginning in April 2010. DEQ also will fund additional projects after receiving federal grant funding from EPA in the Spring of 2010.

## DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED UPDATED INTENDED USE PLAN

The Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan – State Fiscal Year 2010 will fund up to approximately \$96,000,000 in loans for planning, design or construction of projects needed to correct priority water quality problems in Oregon. The Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan includes a List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order that applied for funding. Funding will be allocated in priority order as applicants complete the application process. Funding limitations under Oregon Administrative Rule 340-054-0025 may apply.

## TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THE INTENDED USE PLAN

A copy of the *Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan - State Fiscal Year 2010* is available on the Department of Environmental Quality website at: <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/loans/iup.htm>. Comments on this Plan may be submitted in writing via mail, fax, or e-mail any time prior to the comment deadline of 5:00 p.m. Wednesday, March 31, 2010. Written comments may be mailed, faxed or e-mailed to:

Mail: Oregon DEQ, Water Quality Division  
Chris Gannon  
811 SW Sixth Ave.  
Portland, OR 97204-1390

Fax: (503) 229-6037

Email: [intendeduseplancomments@deq.state.or.us](mailto:intendeduseplancomments@deq.state.or.us)

## Notification of IUP

In addition to all public agencies who have submitted applications that are included in this IUP, the following individuals and organizations were sent information informing them how to access the *Proposed Updated Intended Use Plan – State Fiscal Year 2010*. Notification was also sent by e-mail to all applicants on the Priority List, informing them of the availability of the proposed plan.

David Carcia  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 900  
Seattle, WA 98101

Janet Gillaspie, Executive Director  
Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies  
537 SW Ash St., Suite 12  
Portland, OR 97214-1159