

Cleanup Program Priorities and Status - January 2011 Update

1. Identify and initiate investigation and cleanup activity at high priority sites that threaten human health or sensitive environments

Goals	Key Activities	Status
<p>Managers will determine if Site Assessment structural and regional differences are significant or hindering program goals</p> <p>Ensure adequate project manager resources are dedicated to high priority projects to move these projects forward and complete them</p> <p>For sites identified in ECSI as high priority but are not active; evaluate, re-prioritize if appropriate, or develop strategies for bringing these sites into the program</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Managers will meet to discuss. • Continue to review staff resource decisions and project management decisions for individual sites • Convene a manager’s retreat that includes discussion of Eastern Region and statewide project manager resources • Regional managers evaluate, staff to update ECSI • Target staff resources for work on sensitive areas including drinking water protection areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Done - current status is working, revisit on an ongoing basis • Ongoing – merge of Tanks and Cleanup <p>[Performance measure: number of high priority contaminated sites in which human health risks have been addressed for 2009 82% - 263 out of 319 sites, 56 not active]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Done - updated ECSI for high priority sites • Done - GIS mapping of vulnerable areas • Ongoing - other GIS projects

2. Continue to respond to community brownfield and economic development needs

Goals	Key Activities	Status
<p>Develop agency Brownfield Coordinator role</p> <p>Ensure that each region has a staff member (not necessarily a full FTE) with BF coordination responsibilities</p> <p>Apply for brownfield grants and support other jurisdictions in grant applications</p> <p>Maintain PPA program</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify .5 FTE for state brownfield coordinator • Define tasks for brownfield coordination • Assign staff • Explore brownfield resources for assessing low-medium priority sites • Identify grant success stories • Cleanup managers to discuss with partners how to do better • Engage ERT • Develop ECSI/GIS tools for community outreach • Plan for ongoing LQ staff position • PPA Legislative proposal 2011 session 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing – staff assigned • Done – regional staff working on brownfields • Done - Oregon Brownfields Conference • Ongoing - lacking funding, needs improvement

3. Identify and promote the use of green technologies to improve overall sustainability of cleanup projects

Goals	Key Activities	Status
<p>Develop DEQ strategy</p> <p>Track and support other group's efforts</p> <p>Get the word out</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft DEQ policy statement • Participate in ASTWMO activities • Review ITRC, ASTM, EPA and other's draft work products • Develop and maintain a web page • Highlight case studies on the web – prepare 1-2 page summaries (Cascade General, USPS, Columbia Slough, etc.) • Develop ability to measure results 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing - DEQ Green Remediation Work Group started up in October 2010 (EPA grant funding) • Ongoing - DEQ staff on ASTSWMO Greener Cleanup Task Force • Done - Green Remediation web page up, internal and external • Ongoing – website needs updating

4. Develop and maintain technical guidance, policy, and other tools and resource capabilities needed to support Cleanup

Goals	Key Activities	Status
<p>Develop guidance</p> <p>Improve information systems</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vapor Intrusion • HH Risk Assessment update • Review list of issues for guidance development • Continue developing tools for project managers • Recruit to fill Cleanup Coordinator position • GIS development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Done - Vapor Intrusion guidance • Done - HH risk assessment guidance • Ongoing • Ongoing • Done • Ongoing

5. Maintain financial stability of the Cleanup Program

Goals	Key Activities	Status
<p>Implement sustainable Cleanup Program strategies (2005)</p> <p>Seek stable funding including: Brownfields work instate Orphan obligation Emergency preparedness Emergency response Ballast water</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor Program burn rate* • Review financial operational reports • Match project manager staff to “glide-path” FTE levels • Evaluate work load and staff resources, plan adjustments as needed • Orphan bond legislation 2011 session • Ballast water legislation 2011 session 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing, acceptable • Ongoing, acceptable • Done • Ongoing

* Burn rate is the rate at which the Program is spending more than it receives, which can be done short-term by spending down the HSRAF balance; but this is not sustainable.

External Key Performance Measures (KPMs)

Cleanup Program performance is gauged through KPM 7 (Oregon Benchmark 85), which is reported annually to the Oregon Progress Board, the State Division of Administrative Services, and the Oregon Legislature.

KPM 7 Cleanup: Percent of identified Oregon hazardous waste sites cleaned up

- **7a Cleanup and Tanks combined**

2008: 79%; target of 78%

2009: 80%; target of 79%

- **7b Tanks only**

2008: 81%; target of 80%

2009: 82%; target of 81%

- **7c Cleanup only**

2008: 37%; target of 38%

2009: 38%; target of 37%

Internal Measures

Internal measures are reported (annually) to the Environmental Quality Commission

- **Percentage of sites identified as contributing to Portland Harbor contamination that are implementing source control measures**

2008: 57%

2009: 60%

- **Number of high priority contaminated sites in which human health risks have been addressed**

2007: 78% - 250 out of 319 sites, 69 not active

2009: 82% - 263 out of 319 sites, 56 not active