

---

**Mitigating Air Emissions  
From Animal Feeding Operations  
Conference  
Iowa State University**

**Jill Davidson, PhD.**

**Dairy Production Systems  
Oregon State University**

---

**TODAY:**

- 1) Challenges to dairy systems.**
- 2) Finding the balance.**
- 3) Learning from European efforts.**

## **Additional Dairy Studies....**

**Dr. Chase, Cornell University**

### ***“Methane Emissions from Dairy Cattle”***

**Dairy attributed to 25 % of enteric methane which is 21% of total US methane emissions, 2005.**

**Mitigation objectives is to continue to improve efficiency of both animal productivity and ruminal fermentation.**

**Genetics, feed quality, ration formulation and dairy nutrition management are animal factors identified.**

#### **Ration formulation**

**High forage diets – increase methane**

**low quality forage diets – increase methane**

#### **Implication for Oregon**

**– pasture, grass based systems**

#### **Implication for all ruminants**

**– escalating costs of grain, risk of by-products feeds affecting ammonia, hydrogen sulfides, or phosphorous balance.**



## **Additional Dairy Studies....**

---

**Dr. Ndegwa, Washington State University**  
***“A Review of Ammonia Emissions Mitigation  
Techniques for Concentrated Animal Feeding  
Operations”***

**Diet, facility design, manure treatment to abate  
volatilization of ammonia**

**First line of defense...optimizing diets for N utilization**

**Post-excretion manure management ...potential  
opportunities with positive and negative attributes  
(acidification).**

## **Additional Dairy Studies....**

---

**Dr. Mukhtar, Texas A&M University**

**Reviewed the seasonality and variability of sources of  
ammonia emissions on two different dairy systems.**

**Open-lot dairy of 2000 head**

**~ 100 measurements each      95% confidence interval**

**Summer: 4.5 to 18.7 kg NH<sub>3</sub>/year/head**

**Winter: 2.5 to 9.9 kg NH<sub>3</sub>/year/head)**

**Within a given farm, measures were highly  
variable.**

## Netherlands Approach....

---

### Dr. Ogink, Wageningen University

Green Label measurement protocol (similar to NAEMS):  
Ammonia emissions and mitigation strategies  
To assign emission factors to housing systems

Between farm variation  
Within farm variation  
Both varied in the range 30-40% (relative stand. deviations)

Thus, multi-site sampling approach  
min. 4 farms, 6 periods of 24-h sampling, over a year

## Implications ....

---

### Nutritional programs of dairies

High forage diets (pasture), or low quality  
increase methane  
Balance dietary protein  
reduce ammonia

### Determination of Emission Factors and Mitigation Strategies

Limitations of control laboratory data  
High variation within and among farms limits accuracy of  
measurements

NAEMS: Livestock farms emit PM and VOC in excess of  
CAA thresholds, or NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in excess of CERCLA and  
EPCRA reporting requirements

## Implications ....

---

**NAEMS:** Livestock farms emit PM and VOC in excess of CAA thresholds, or NH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in excess of CERCLA and EPCRA reporting requirements

Netherlands have alter their approach:  
From continuous sampling single farm  
to multiple site, target sampling periods

Current measurements in the US will only be the first step in understanding air missions from livestock operations and potential mitigation strategies.

## **Additional Dairy Studies....**

---

**Dr. Mitloehner, UC-Davis**

**Three papers were presented utilizing the chamber system and measuring equipment.**

**Many questions arose related to the methods and design of the chambers:**

**inlet and outlet location**

**airflow measurements**